Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

This would appear to be electioneering in a most outrageous sense. Sinclair already has demonstrated their partisan management by forbidding their stations to air the Ted Koeppel Nightline show that simply did honor to our soldiers who died in the current Irag conflict.

If they insist on forcing their stations to air this anti-Kerry documentary, then they should be required to air the other side in the form of anti-Bush programming of equal value.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions

show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.